

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,895	10/11/2001	Mun Geon Kyeong	11349-P67202US0	8943
7590 05/18/2005			EXAMINER	
	PRICE, HOLMAN &	KIM, KEVIN		
PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY 400 Seventh Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2634	

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Ap)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/973,895	KYEONG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kevin Y. Kim	2634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 O	<u>ctober 2001</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 October 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the confection of t	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/11/01.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1,8,16,23,31 and 36.

- 1. "the demodulator" in the "correlating means located in the demodulator" is not definite because it is not clear which one of "a plurality of demodulating means," recited above, is referred to. However, in the context of the claim, it appears that "the demodulator" was meant to refer back to each of "a plurality of demodulating means" and will be understood as such.
- 2. "weight vectors" are recited to be generated "based on the reference signals and the signals" or "based on the difference signals and the signals." Emphasis added.

 However, it is not clear what "the signals" is referring back to. Note that the claim recites "signals received," "demodulated signals," "pilot channel signals" and "a fading signal," etc.
- 3. "the weight vector" in the "for providing the weight vector to the digital beamforming networks" is not definite because it is not clear which one of "weight vectors," recited above, is referred to. However, in the context of the claim, it appears that the term was meant to refer back to all of the "weight vectors" and will be understood as such.

Application/Control Number: 09/973,895 Page 3

Art Unit: 2634

Claims 3,10,18 and 25.

"the channel estimating means" lacks a positive antecedent basis. It appear that the term was means to refer back to "Doppler frequency estimating means" recited in claim 1.

Claims 5,12,20,27 and 35.

Since "a Compton's reference signal generation loop model" is not clearly defined, the limitation of generating "the reference signal" based on the indefinite model is also indefinite.

Claims 6,14,21 and 29.

"the digital beamforming network" lacks a positive antecedent basis. It appears that the term refers back to "the digital beamforming networks."

Claim 7.

"the signals" in "the signals for a next weight vector update time" is not clear since claim 1 recites "signals received," "demodulated signals," "pilot channel signals" and "a fading signal," etc.

Claim 13 and 28.

"the reference signal generator" lacks a positive antecedent basis. It appears that the term refers back to each of "the reference signal generators."

The rest of the claims are rejected as dependent on their respective rejected base claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 09/973,895 Page 4

Art Unit: 2634

3. Claims 1-36 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s)

under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Rabideau et al (US 6,598,014), Rashid-Farrokhi et al (US 6,304,750), Chang et al (US

- 6,249,251) and Upadhyay et al (US 6,115,409) discloses a digital beamforming network

with adjustable weights.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kevin Y. Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3039. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8AM -- 5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 571-272-3056. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KEVIN KIM
PATENT EXAMINER

12. phin